



LAWRENCE
LIVERMORE
NATIONAL
LABORATORY

X-Ray Emission Cross Sections following Charge Exchange by Multiply-Charged Ions of Astrophysical Interest

S. Otranto, R. E. Olson, P. Beiersdorfer

March 15, 2007

Journal of Physics: Conference Series

Disclaimer

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

This work was performed under the auspices of the U. S. Department of Energy by University of California, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract W-7405-Eng-48.

X-ray emission cross sections following charge exchange by multiply charged ions of astrophysical interest

S. Otranto^{1,2}, R. E. Olson¹ and P. Beiersdorfer³

¹Physics Department, University of Missouri-Rolla, Rolla MO 65401, USA.

²CONICET and Dto. de Física, Universidad Nacional del Sur, 8000 Bahía Blanca, Argentina.

³Department of Physics, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore CA 94550, USA.

otrantos@umr.edu

Abstract. The CTMC method is used to calculate emission cross sections following charge exchange processes involving highly charged ions of astrophysical interest and typical cometary targets. Comparison is made to experimental data obtained on the EBIT-I machine at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) for O^{8+} projectiles impinging on different targets at a collision energy of 10 eV/amu. The theoretical cross sections are used together with ion abundances measured by the Advanced Composition Explorer to reproduce cometary spectra. Discrepancies due to different estimated delays of solar wind events between the comet and the Earth-orbiting satellite are discussed.

1. Introduction

Recent observations of x-ray emission from comets have had a great impact not only because the intensity of the emission was unexpected but because of the richness on the underlying atomic physics [1,2]. Nowadays, it is widely accepted that the x-ray emission from comets originates in charge exchange processes between the solar wind ions and the cometary coma gases [3].

While for high impact energies, methods like the CDW [4], or CDW-EIS [5] have been successfully used to study single electron capture from light targets for many years, the low impact energy region still represents a challenge for theoreticians. Quantum mechanical methods such as the atomic and molecular orbital methods provide accurate values for light target systems such as atomic H and He for low impact energies at the expense of large basis sets [6]. Simpler methods like the multichannel Landau-Zener (LZ) [7] and classical trajectory Monte Carlo (CTMC) [8], on the other hand, provide reasonable results for complex systems such as molecular targets or highly charged projectiles.

Semiclassical methods have been developed within the CTMC method to predict the n , l , m electron capture excited levels. By following the dipole allowed photon transitions as they deexcite to the ground state, the emission cross sections are obtained. For almost 20 years, the CTMC line emission cross sections for the H target have been used for diagnostics on tokamak fusion plasmas to determine the concentrations of highly charged impurity ions in the energy range of 1 keV/amu to 40

keV/amu. More recently, CTMC emission lines have been presented for collisions involving partially and fully stripped ions with Li, providing an accurate description of the measured data.

In the present paper, we present emission lines for typical solar wind highly charged ions colliding with cometary targets using a one-active electron representation of the problem. We consider the cometary gases as hydrogenic atoms with their corresponding ionization potentials (IP). Our theoretical results are first compared to high-resolution data obtained with the EBIT machine at LLNL at low collision energies (10 eV/amu) [9]. Then, they are compared with data measured for similar reactions but for impact energies which are in accord with the astrophysical observations ($\sim 1\text{-}3$ keV/amu) [10,11].

Furthermore, we use the calculated emission cross sections together with the ion abundances measured by the Advanced Composition Explorer (ACE) to predict cometary spectra and discuss the discrepancies that arise when different delays are estimated between the solar wind events at the comet and at the Earth-orbiting satellite.

2. Results

The LZ and CTMC methods early on predicted that the total cross section for the single electron capture from H scaled linearly with the impinging charge and was energy-independent for highly charged projectiles ($\sigma \sim q \times 10^{-15} \text{ cm}^2$). Further CTMC calculations showed in 1981 that the most probable principal quantum number for capture was $n_p = n_i q^{3/4}$, where n_i is the initial level of a H target and q the projectile charge state. Within the hydrogenic approximation used throughout this article, the latter equation can be generalized as $n_p = (13.6 \text{ eV} / IP)^{1/2} q^{3/4}$.

In Figure 1 a) and b), we show the emission cross sections measured by Beiersdorfer et al. with the EBIT machine and a 10 eV resolution microcalorimeter spectrometer (XRMS) like the one that was on the Suzaku mission launched in 2005 but failed after three weeks in orbit. In Figure 1 a) the relative experimental data obtained for 0.01 keV/amu O^{8+} projectiles colliding with different targets are normalized to the Ly- α peaks. It can be seen that the Ly- α , Ly- β and Ly- γ peaks are similar for all the targets but the Ly- δ and Ly- ϵ representing the $5p \rightarrow 1s$ and $6p \rightarrow 1s$ transitions seem to be target dependent. Similar trends are reproduced in Figure 1 b) by the CTMC (degraded to 10eV resolution) even though for CH_4 the $6p \rightarrow 1s$ seems to be absent and the experiment shows that the emission is as strong as that coming from the $5p \rightarrow 1s$ transition. The present results are in agreement with the above shown equation for the most probable n_p which predicts that electrons captured from targets with lower binding energies will populate higher n values.

Another way to view the importance of the different Lyman emissions is through the “hardness ratio” (R) which consists of the ratio of the Lyman emissions for $n > 2$ to the Ly- α line. In Figure 2, we show R as a function of the collision energy. The trends exhibited by the high $np \rightarrow 1s$ transitions in Figure 1 leave clear trends in R, where now the CTMC results tend to overestimate the data due to the strong $5p \rightarrow 1s$ emission obtained for H_2O , CO_2 and CH_4 . At higher energies, where JPL data (H_2O and CO_2) [10] as well as KVI data (H_2) [11] are available, we see that the CTMC is in very good agreement.

The present behavior of the hardness ratio also evidences how the l levels produced by electron capture strongly depend on the collision energy. At a collision energy of 10 eV/amu, the Lyman lines for $n > 2$ are responsible for 50% of the total emission. This implies a high population of $l = 1$ states during the electron capture. As the impact energy increases, higher l -values are populated and during the cascading, only the Ly- α is present in the x-ray range. At an impact energy of 3 keV/amu, the total emission that arises from the Lyman lines corresponding to $np \rightarrow 1s$ transitions with $n > 2$, decreases to approximately 23%.

In Figure 3 we use the calculated emission cross sections to reproduce the spectrum of comet C/Linear 1999 S4. The ACIS-S effective area has been considered, as well as a common background

of Mg, Si lines (calculated by means of Balmer transitions) and $N^{6+,7+}$ for which we have used tabulated values. The abundances for the $C^{5+,6+}$ and $O^{7+,8+}$ projectiles have been obtained from ACE measurements which are tabulated in 2-hour averages [12]. The spectrum obtained according to the radial delay (-0.3 days) is shown.




Figure 1. a) Data obtained with the EBIT machine at LLNL and a 10 eV resolution XRMS for 10 eV/amu O^{8+} collisions on alcohol, CH_4 , CO_2 and N_2 . b) CTMC emission lines for the same systems. In both cases the curves are normalized to the Ly- α peak.




Figure 2. Hardness ratio R as a function of collision energy for O^{8+} projectiles. The experimental data corresponds to Beiersdorfer [9], Greenwood et al. [10] and Suraud et al. [11].




Figure 3. Spectrum of C/LINEAR 1999 S4 calculated with the $C^{5+,6+}$ and $O^{7+,8+}$ abundances measured by ACE corresponding to the radial delay (-0.3 days) [12].

3. Conclusions

In this work we have benchmarked CTMC emission lines with high resolution experimental data obtained on the EBIT machine at LLNL. Even though a hydrogenic approximation has been used to model extremely complex targets, the calculated emission lines correctly predict that for low impact energies the intensity of the higher Lyman lines depend on the ionization potential of the target. We have also shown that these emission lines successfully predict the collision-energy-dependence of the hardness ratio. This implies that the CTMC properly accounts for the captured electron population of the different l -levels at different impact energies.

Finally, we have shown that the calculated cross sections together with ACE measured abundances corresponding to the recommended radial delay lead to a spectrum in good agreement with that measured on July 14th 2000.

References

- [1] Lisse C M et al. 1996 Science 274 205.
- [2] Lisse C M et al. 2001 Science 292 1343.
- [3] Cravens T E 1997 Geophys. Res. Lett. 24 105.
- [4] Belkić D 1991 Phys. Scr. 43 561.
- [5] Martinez A E, Bullrich J A, Maidagan J M and Rivarola R D 1992 J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 25 1883.
- [6] Shimakura N, Suzuki S and Kimura M 1993 Phys. Rev. A 48 3652.
- [7] Salop A and Olson R E 1976 Phys. Rev. A 13 1312.
- [8] Olson R E and Salop A 1977 Phys. Rev. A 16 531.
- [9] Otranto S, Olson R E and Beiersdorfer P 2006 Phys. Rev. A 73 022723.
- [10] Greenwood J B, Williams I D, Smith S J and Chutjian A 2000 Astrophys. J. Lett. 533 L175.
Greenwood J B, Williams I D, Smith S J and Chutjian A 2001 Phys. Rev. A 63 062707.
- [11] Suraud MG, Hoekstra R, de Heer F J, Bonnet J J and Morgenstern R 1991 J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 24 2543.
- [12] http://www.srl.caltech.edu/ACE/ASC/level2/lv12DATA_SWICS.html